

**REMARKS**

Claims 35 and 36 have been added and, thus, claims 1, 5 through 11, 16 through 20, 25 through 29, 35 and 36 remain in this application.

Claims 1, 5 through 10, 16 through 20 and 25 through 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 7155683 to Williams (hereinafter "Williams") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6310634 to Bodnar, et al. (hereinafter "Bodnar"). Claims 11 and 16 through 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of Bodnar and U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US2004/0153963 to Simpson, et al. (hereinafter "Simpson").

Independent claim 1 provides, *inter alia*, "receiving a second input, said second input being either a second key corresponding to a second set of textual character or a selection key; and adding the most probable completion alternative to the content string entry line of said display for said second input being said selection key, and adding a second completion alternative for said second input being said second key, said second completion alternative being either a most probable combination of said most probable first character selected from said first set of textual characters and a most probable second character selected from said second set of textual characters, or a most probable second sub-string, said second sub-string beginning with said most probable first character and said most probable second character and including at least a most probable third character". Independent claim 25 provides, *inter alia*, a user interface of a portable electronic device that is adapted to "receive a second input, said second input being either a second key corresponding to a second set of textual character or a selection key; and add the most probable completion alternative to the content string entry line of said display for said second input being said selection key, and adding a second completion alternative for said second input being said second key, said second completion alternative being either a most probable combination of said most probable first character selected from said first set of textual characters and a most probable second character selected from said second set of textual characters, or a most probable second sub-string, said second sub-string beginning with said most probable first character and said most probable second character and including at least a most probable third character".

In contrast, Williams does not describe or suggest a second input key that provides a second completion alternative for a second set of textual characters, as required by independent

claims 1 and 25. Likewise, Bodnar and Simpson do not describe or suggest any type of second input including a second key corresponding to a second set of textual characters that adds a second completion alternative for the second key, nor any type of second input key providing a second completion alternative for a second set of textual characters, as required by independent claims 1 and 25. Therefore, claims 1 and 25 distinguish from Williams, Bodnar, Simpson and the suggested combination of these references.

Claims 5 through 11, 16 through 20 and 26 through 29 depend from and include all limitations of the independent claims 1 and 25. Therefore, claim 5 through 11, 16 through 20 and 26 through 29 distinguish from Williams, Bodnar, Simpson and the suggested combination of these references for the reasons stated above for claims 1 and 25.

In view of the above, reconsideration and withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. §103(a) rejections of claims 1, 5 through 11, 16 through 20, 25 through 29 are respectfully requested.

New claims 35 and 36 are hereby presented to more completely cover Applicants' portable electronic device. In particular, claim 35 provides, *inter alia*, that the user interface comprises a navigation key having a first set of controls for acceptance or non-acceptance of a most probable completion alternative currently displayed at the display and a second set of controls for changing or overriding the most probable completion alternative currently displayed at the display. Also, claim 36 provides, *inter alia*, that the first set of controls includes first and second controls at opposing positions of the navigation key, and the second set of controls includes third and fourth controls at opposing positions of the navigation key different from the positions of the first and second controls. Allowance of new claims 35 and 36 is believed warranted.

## CONCLUSION

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. Also, no amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicants have argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to deduct any additional fees arising as a result of this response, including any fees for Extensions of Time, or any other communication from or to credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 50-2117.

It is submitted that the claims clearly define the invention, are supported by the specification and drawings, and are in a condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. Should the Examiner have any questions or concerns that may expedite prosecution of the present application, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Please forward all correspondence to:  
Motorola, Inc.  
Law Department (HDW)  
600 North US Highway 45  
Libertyville, IL 60048

<u>/HISASHI D. WATANABE/</u>	<u>09/03/2009</u>
Hisashi D. Watanabe	Date
Attorney for Applicant(s)	
Registration No. 37,465	
Telephone: (847) 523-2322	
Facsimile: (847) 523-2350	